NOV 0 9 2005 W

PTO/SB/64 (07-05)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

### PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT SANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

Docket Number (Optional)

First named inventor: Joseph Tabe Application No.: 10/073,236 Art Unit: 2632 Filed: 02/13/02 Examiner: Goins Davetta Woods Title: Advanced Audio Response System Attention: Office of Petitions **Mail Stop Petition** Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 FAX (571) 273-8300 NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (571) 272-3282. The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the office notice or action plus an extensions of time actually obtained. APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items: (1) Petition fee; (2) Reply and/or issue fee; (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee - required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional. 1.Petition fee Small entity-fee \$750.00 (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. Other than small entity – fee \$ \_\_\_\_ (37 CFR 1.17(m)) 2. Reply and/or fee A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of Office communication \_\_\_\_(identify type of reply): has been filed previously on 08/10/05 is enclosed herewith.

[Page 1 of 2]

B. The issue fee and publication fee (if applicable) of \$ \_\_\_\_

has been paid previously on \_08/10/05

is enclosed herewith.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/64 (07-05)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

3.	Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee		
	Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.		
	A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1 for other than a small entity) disclaiming the require PTO/SB/63).	.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or \$	
4.	4. STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. [NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D)).]		
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.			
	The state of the s	10/31/05	
	Signature	Date	
	Jacob Toho		
		Registration Number, if applicable	
	525 Thayer Avenue, #315, Silver spring, MD 20910 Address		
	Addless	Telephone Number	
Address  Enclosures: Fee Payment  Reply  Terminal Disclaimer Form  Additional sheets containing statements establishing unintentional delay  Other:			
	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:  Deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.		
	Transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office as (571) 273-8300.		
	11/01/05	Theres	
	Date	Signature	
		Joseph Tabe	
	Тур	ed or printed name of person signing certificate	
	L		

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE In re application of: Tabe, Joseph Serial No.: 10/073,236 Title: ADVANCED AUDIO SAFETY APPARATUS Filed: February 13, 2002

Examiner: GOIN DAVETTA WOOD )

Group Art Unit: 2632

#### UNINTENTIONAL ABANDONMENT

Tabe Joseph
Tajintech Corporation
525 Thayer Avenue, Suite 315
Silver spring, Maryland 20910
240-462-0487
October 31, 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS
MAIL STOP PETITION
COMMISIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This PETITION is in response to the office communication dated October 25, 2005. Applicant claims that his failure to pay the allowance fee was unintentional. Applicant received an office communication dated 04/25/05 prior to meeting the three months statutory period set forth for responding to the letter of allowance. The office communication stated that figure 1 was in the drawing but not in the specification. However, while preparing the response to the 04/25/05 office communication, applicant's data had figure 1 both in the specification and in the drawing. Applicant then check the public pair database and also found that the application filed on 05/03/02 had figure 1 in both the specification and the drawing. While applicant was trying to figure out what the examiner meant in the office communication, the language on the office communication mailed 04/25/05 made the statutory period for responding to the payment more confusing. It was only after the other office communication mailed 07/27/05 that applicant then saw that figure 1 was in the specification and the drawing but figure 2 was only in the drawing and not the specification.

Applicant pleads that his failure to pay the allowance fee was UNINTENTIONAL, that it was due to the confusion set forth from the 04/25/05 office communication and his misunderstanding of the language of the 04/25/05 office communication. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully
JOSEPH TABE

## NOV 0 R 2005

#### **Privacy Act Statement**

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- 2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.